

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/729,464	HORVITZ ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
KEVIN BATES	2456	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) KEVIN BATES. (3) _____.

(2) Edmund Walsh. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 July 2010

Time: 1:30 pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC §101

Claims discussed:

82

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/KEVIN BATES/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2456

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that the applicant's amendment did not overcome the §101 rejection of claim 82. The examiner proposed some new claim amendments which would overcome the rejection and the applicant agreed to allow the examiner to make the proposed change in an examiner's amendment..